

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,049	09/15/2003	Michael Steven Pickard	8285-633 2583	
75	90 07/28/2005	EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOP	ER GILSON & LION	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL	=	ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
CITICAGO, IL	00010	2642		
		DATE MAIL ED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Anti Occ	10/664,04	9	PICKARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		William J.		2642			
<i> ۲۱</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communicately	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
THE MAI - Extension: after SIX (- If the period - If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC soft ime may be available under the provisions of 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communed for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) and for reply is specified above, the maximum stature reply within the set or extended period for reply with received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>18 April 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is n	on-final.				
	nce this application is in condition for sed in accordance with the practice	•	• •		merits is		
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the ap Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			·		
Application	Papers						
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
	r) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Арр	olicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the	•	-,,		` '		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)□ <i>A</i> 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National :	Stage		
Amadasassta					• .		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P (s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the claims are ambiguous. From the claims, it is unclear whether the list is sent only once, for example, when a user plugs the phone jack into the wall or only the first time the receiver goes off hook. Is it applicants' intention, that each time the receiver goes off-hook, a call is made to the system or database holding the speed dial list before applicant can place a call to another destination other than the system or database holding the speed dial lists?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,930,350 (Johnson).

With respect to claims 1-18, Johnson teaches a method of receiving a speed : dial list 122 associated to a telephone line via at least one of a computer network and a

telephone network (see Fig. 2), storing the speed dial list in a database (note 122), associating, in the database, the speed dial list with an identifier of the telephone line (See Fig. 4, Col. 6, lines 49 – 58 and Col. 8, lines 47 - 53), detecting that a telephone set has been connected to the telephone line (inherent) and identifying the telephone line to which the telephone set has been connected (also inherent), retrieving the speed dial list from the database based on said identifying (See Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 49 – 58 and see also Col. 5, lines 53 - 56 and Abstract), communicating the speed dial list to the telephone connected to the telephone line (Col. 4, lines 51 – 54).

Applicants' claims are so broad as to read on any system that has a speeddialing list remote from a phone. That is, before the list would be sent, of course a connection would have to be sensed by the system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/18/2005 have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive to any error in the rejection above.

It is noted that applicants have explicitly refused to answer a simple question having to do with how the system works as noted in the 112 rejection above. It is unclear to the examiner how the system works by simply connecting the phone to a phone line. If the phone is still on hook, how is the connection sensed?

With the refusal to clear up this confusion, it appears that the rejections above are appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,049

Art Unit: 2642

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9306.

17Jul05

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4